Application No. Application No. Application No. Application No. Application No. Application No. No. Application No. No. Application No. No. Application No.			
Examiner Examiner	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Art Unit MIRIEL TINKLER 3691 All Participants: Status of Application:		09/745,651	KIRWIN ET AL
All Participants: (1) MURIEL TINKLER (2) Chris Annu. Date of Interview: 23 March 2010 Time: 500 PM Type of Interview: Telephonic Yes Applicant's representative Telephonic Yes Applicant's representative Telephonic Yes Yes Yes Telephonic Yes Yes Yes Telephonic Yes Yes Yes Telephonic Yes Teleph		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) MURIEL TINKLER (3)		MURIEL TINKLER	3691
(2) <u>Chris Agnu.</u> (4)	All Participants:	Status of Application	:
Date of Interview: 23 March 2010 Time: \$00 PM Type of Interview: 23 March 2010 Time: \$00 PM Type of Interview: 31 March 2010 Time: \$00 PM Type of Interview: 32 March 2010 Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: 32 Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: No Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: No Claims discussed: 37-40, 50, 53-99 and 78-99 Prior at documents discussed: No Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner conducted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application loward an altowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III.	(1) MURIEL TINKLER.	(3)	
Type of Interview: Type of Interview: Type provide a print Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) Chris Agnu.	(4)	
Substance of the substance of the following and the generality of the composition of the following and the generality of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	Date of Interview: 23 March 2010	Time: 5:00 PM	
If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Regletion(s) discussed: NA Claims discussed: 37-40, 30, 53-69 and 76-99 Prior and documents discussed: NA Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Cannaire collaboration for Againstance in Prior Againstance of the State of the Against Agai	□ Telephonic □ Video Conference	licant's representative)	
Rejection(s) discussed: NA Claims discussed: 37-40, 50, 63-69 and 76-99 Prior art documents discussed: Apart II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examere conducted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application toward an altowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. July 11 is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int			
NA Claims discussed: 37-40, 50, 63-99 and 76-99 Prior art documents discussed: NA Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner conducted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application toward an altowarce. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. The Texaminer conduction of the Interview of the I	Part I.		
37-40, 50, 63-89 and 76-99 Prior art documents discussed: NA Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examer conducted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application toward an altowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. Ji is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int			
N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examer conducted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application lower'd an allowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL MATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. The Canner contained the Applicant's representative to descus to incorporation of calam 38 into claim 37 in order to more application toward an allowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int			
The Examiner contacted the Applicant's representative to discuss the incorporation of calim 38 into claim 37 in order to more application forward an altivaries. The Applicant's representative agreed. The Third III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	Part II.		
application toward an allowance. The Applicant's representative agreed. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.			38 into claim 37 in order to more this
	Part III.		
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	The examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance

/Hani M. Kazimi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)